

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------------------------|------|--------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/719,009 | | 11/24/2003 | Marcel Mathijs Theodore Marie Dierichs | 081468-0306640 | 9104 | |
| 909 | 7590 | 06/28/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | THROP SHAW I | MCPHERSON, JOHN A | | | |
| P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | 102 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1756 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | 10/719,009 | DIERICHS ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | John A. McPherson | 1756 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr | osecution as to the merits is | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath of the oath or declaration of the oath | vn from consideration. r election requirement. er. fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected. | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Dijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in the control of | tion No red in this National Stage | |
| 2) Notic 3) Information Pape | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 11/03 and 5/04. | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/719,009 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of fabricating an optical element, classified in class 430, subclass 321.
 - II. Claim 6, drawn to a lithographic projection apparatus, classified in class355, subclass 55.
 - III. Claims 7-10, drawn to an optical element, classified in class 359, subclass 838.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as wet etching.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Art Unit: 1756

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 presents the limitation "wherein the reflecting film is selected from the group consisting of a Bragg reflector and a *protective layer*. However, it is the position of the Examiner that a protective layer is not generally considered to be a type of reflecting film. Accordingly, it is unclear if the scope of this claim is limited to methods comprising the step of providing a reflecting film, or if it includes a method comprising the step of providing either a reflective film or a protective layer. This rejection could be overcome by rewriting claim 5 so that it is drawn to the embodiment wherein the reflecting film is a Bragg reflector (i.e. deleting the protective layer from this claim), and then writing an new dependent claim drawn to the embodiment wherein the film is a protective layer.

Art Unit: 1756

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2004/0020892 to Matthews et al. (Matthews). Matthews discloses a method of making a diffractive optical element comprising the steps of forming a multilayer structure comprising multiple etch layers separated by etch stop layers, patterning a photoresist layer on the multilayer structure, plasma etching exposed portions of the etch layer, and depositing a coating on the etched structure. Furthermore, the photoresist patterning and etching steps are repeated, the diffractive optical element may be a Fresnel zone plate, and the coating may be a protective coating or a multi-layer reflective coating. See the abstract; paragraphs [0002], [0026]-[0031] and [0033]-[0036]; and Figures 2A-C, 3A-C, 4, 7 and 8.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. McPherson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

JAM 6/22/06